```
From: A Supporter of COOL [mailto:letters@californiamarinereserves.org]
Sent: Saturday, March 11, 2006 3:47 PM
To: MLPAcomments@resources.ca.gov
Subject: MLPAComments: Fwd: Package S= Package Stupid
>Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 11:21:14 -0800
>To: letters@californiamarinereserves.org
>Subject: Package S= Package Stupid
>From: "Emily Eng" <eeng@scu.edu>
>3/11/6
>Chairman Phil Isenberg
>MLPA Task Force
>c/o Resources Agency
>1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1311
>Sacramento, CA 95814
>via E-Mail
>Dear Chairman Isenberg:
>Package S is a much weaker proposal than Package 2. Here are some
>reasons why Package S is less beneficial than Package 2:
>Reserves are too small~
>It builds its network on reserves near the minimum size acceptable to
>the Science Advisory Team. This risks the effectiveness of the network.
>Economic value is lost~
>Package S does a poor job of obtaining socio-economic benefits we could
>derive from MLPA: it puts weak protections at areas popular for
>non-consumptive recreation like diving, and does little to enhance the
>"destination value" of the Monterey area for eco-tourism. Monterey and
>Pacific Grove must have more reserves and high-protection areas than
>Package S offers. The Carmel Pinnacles Reserve must be large enough to
>cover the spots of greatest value to Monterey's commercial dive boat
>fleet.
>Unequal protection for offshore areas~
>Package S places weak protection in deeper offshore waters, risking
>food sources for sea birds, marine mammals and larger fish.
>Fisheries would suffer~
>Package S would actually create more negative impact on the squid and
>spot prawn fisheries than the more effective and better balanced
>Package 2.
>Sincerely,
>Emily Eng
>545 Lafayette Street
>Santa Clara, CA 95050
>CC: Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger
>State Capitol Bldg.
>Sacramento, CA 95814
>The Coalition of Organizations for Ocean Life (COOL)
     letters@californiamarinereserves.org
```